## **ENTERED**

July 08, 2019 David J. Bradley, Clerk

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

THALIA HUYNH, et al,	§
	§
Plaintiffs,	§
VS.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:18-CV-4257
	§
WAL-MART STORES TEXAS, LLC,	§
	§
Defendant.	§

## ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION

Pending before the Court in the above referenced proceeding is Defendant's Partial 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No. 8); Plaintiffs' Response (Doc. No. 9); Defendant's Reply (Doc. No. 19); Judge Stacy's Memorandum and Recommendation (Doc. No. 15) that the Court grant in part Defendant's motion; and Plaintiffs' Objections (Doc. No. 16) to the Memorandum and Recommendation.

The Court has reviewed the case, *de novo*, and agrees with the Magistrate Judge's conclusion that Plaintiff has not stated a plausible claim against Defendant for malicious prosecution, intentional infliction of emotional distress, and violations of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, but should be allowed to replead their claim for negligence *per se*. Accordingly, the Court hereby

ORDERS that Plaintiffs' Objections (Doc. 16) are OVERRULED; the Memorandum and Recommendation (Doc. 33) is ADOPTED; and Defendant's Partial 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No. 8) GRANTED IN PART. It is further

ORDERED that Plaintiffs' claims of malicious prosecution, intentional infliction of emotional distress, and violations of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. It is further

ORDERED that Plaintiffs have 20 days from entry of this Order to amend their complaint to re-plead their claims for negligence per se.

SIGNED at Houston, Texas, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of July, 2019.

ANDREW S. HANEN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE